Diffusion and interface growth in hafnium oxide and silicate ultrathin films on Si(001)
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Medium energy ion scattering has been used in combination with 16O and 18O isotope tracing to determine elemental depth distributions and elucidate oxygen transport in 2–5 nm thick HfO2 and HfSiOx films grown by atomic layer deposition on Si(001). Both the oxygen isotope exchange rate in the dielectric as well as the interfacial silicon oxide growth rates were examined as a function of time, temperature, film stoichiometry (HfO2, HfSiOx, and HfSiOxNy), and crystallinity. The amount of exchanged oxygen in the oxide was found to decrease with increasing SiO2 content. When the SiO2 to HfO2 ratio reaches 1:1 in HfSiOx an almost full suppression of the oxygen exchange is observed. The activation barrier for the SiO2 growth at the HfO2/Si and HfSiOx/Si interfaces was found to be much lower than that in the SiO2/Si and SiO2Ny/Si cases, which is attributed to distinctly different oxygen incorporation mechanisms. The primary route for oxygen delivery to the interface responsible for the SiO2 growth is via exchange, however, direct oxidation by molecular oxygen cannot be discounted completely. In the presence of an interfacial nitride layer the 18O–16O exchange is replaced by the 18O–N exchange, which slows diffusion and reduces the oxidation rate.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The continued scaling of microelectronic components has made the introduction of new materials in complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technology necessary.1,2 Transition metal (Hf, Zr, La) oxides, silicates, and ternary Hf-based oxides with a dielectric constant higher than that of SiO2 are being widely investigated as a dielectric and/or chemical reactions between the overlayers.15 As a rule, the Hf oxide (silicate)/Si interface region is strongly affected by atomic layer deposition on Si(001). Both the oxygen isotope exchange rate in the dielectric as well as the interfacial silicon oxide growth rates were examined as a function of time, temperature, film stoichiometry (HfO2, HfSiOx, and HfSiOxNy), and crystallinity. The amount of exchanged oxygen in the oxide was found to decrease with increasing SiO2 content. When the SiO2 to HfO2 ratio reaches 1:1 in HfSiOx an almost full suppression of the oxygen exchange is observed. The activation barrier for the SiO2 growth at the HfO2/Si and HfSiOx/Si interfaces was found to be much lower than that in the SiO2/Si and SiO2Ny/Si cases, which is attributed to distinctly different oxygen incorporation mechanisms. The primary route for oxygen delivery to the interface responsible for the SiO2 growth is via exchange, however, direct oxidation by molecular oxygen cannot be discounted completely. In the presence of an interfacial nitride layer the 18O–16O exchange is replaced by the 18O–N exchange, which slows diffusion and reduces the oxidation rate.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115329 PACS number(s): 68.35.Dv, 68.35.Fx, 68.35.Ct, 68.49.Sf

I. INTRODUCTION

The continued scaling of microelectronic components has made the introduction of new materials in complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technology necessary.1,2 Transition metal (Hf, Zr, La) oxides, silicates, and ternary Hf-based oxides with a dielectric constant higher than that of SiO2 are being widely investigated as a dielectric and/or chemical reactions between the overlayers.15 As a rule, the Hf oxide (silicate)/Si interface region is strongly affected by atomic layer deposition on Si(001). Both the oxygen isotope exchange rate in the dielectric as well as the interfacial silicon oxide growth rates were examined as a function of time, temperature, film stoichiometry (HfO2, HfSiOx, and HfSiOxNy), and crystallinity. The amount of exchanged oxygen in the oxide was found to decrease with increasing SiO2 content. When the SiO2 to HfO2 ratio reaches 1:1 in HfSiOx an almost full suppression of the oxygen exchange is observed. The activation barrier for the SiO2 growth at the HfO2/Si and HfSiOx/Si interfaces was found to be much lower than that in the SiO2/Si and SiO2Ny/Si cases, which is attributed to distinctly different oxygen incorporation mechanisms. The primary route for oxygen delivery to the interface responsible for the SiO2 growth is via exchange, however, direct oxidation by molecular oxygen cannot be discounted completely. In the presence of an interfacial nitride layer the 18O–16O exchange is replaced by the 18O–N exchange, which slows diffusion and reduces the oxidation rate.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115329 PACS number(s): 68.35.Dv, 68.35.Fx, 68.35.Ct, 68.49.Sf

I. INTRODUCTION

The continued scaling of microelectronic components has made the introduction of new materials in complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technology necessary.1,2 Transition metal (Hf, Zr, La) oxides, silicates, and ternary Hf-based oxides with a dielectric constant higher than that of SiO2 are being widely investigated as a dielectric and/or chemical reactions between the overlayers.15 As a rule, the Hf oxide (silicate)/Si interface region is strongly affected by atomic layer deposition on Si(001). Both the oxygen isotope exchange rate in the dielectric as well as the interfacial silicon oxide growth rates were examined as a function of time, temperature, film stoichiometry (HfO2, HfSiOx, and HfSiOxNy), and crystallinity. The amount of exchanged oxygen in the oxide was found to decrease with increasing SiO2 content. When the SiO2 to HfO2 ratio reaches 1:1 in HfSiOx an almost full suppression of the oxygen exchange is observed. The activation barrier for the SiO2 growth at the HfO2/Si and HfSiOx/Si interfaces was found to be much lower than that in the SiO2/Si and SiO2Ny/Si cases, which is attributed to distinctly different oxygen incorporation mechanisms. The primary route for oxygen delivery to the interface responsible for the SiO2 growth is via exchange, however, direct oxidation by molecular oxygen cannot be discounted completely. In the presence of an interfacial nitride layer the 18O–16O exchange is replaced by the 18O–N exchange, which slows diffusion and reduces the oxidation rate.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115329 PACS number(s): 68.35.Dv, 68.35.Fx, 68.35.Ct, 68.49.Sf

I. INTRODUCTION

The continued scaling of microelectronic components has made the introduction of new materials in complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technology necessary.1,2 Transition metal (Hf, Zr, La) oxides, silicates, and ternary Hf-based oxides with a dielectric constant higher than that of SiO2 are being widely investigated as a dielectric and/or chemical reactions between the overlayers.15 As a rule, the Hf oxide (silicate)/Si interface region is strongly affected by atomic layer deposition on Si(001). Both the oxygen isotope exchange rate in the dielectric as well as the interfacial silicon oxide growth rates were examined as a function of time, temperature, film stoichiometry (HfO2, HfSiOx, and HfSiOxNy), and crystallinity. The amount of exchanged oxygen in the oxide was found to decrease with increasing SiO2 content. When the SiO2 to HfO2 ratio reaches 1:1 in HfSiOx an almost full suppression of the oxygen exchange is observed. The activation barrier for the SiO2 growth at the HfO2/Si and HfSiOx/Si interfaces was found to be much lower than that in the SiO2/Si and SiO2Ny/Si cases, which is attributed to distinctly different oxygen incorporation mechanisms. The primary route for oxygen delivery to the interface responsible for the SiO2 growth is via exchange, however, direct oxidation by molecular oxygen cannot be discounted completely. In the presence of an interfacial nitride layer the 18O–16O exchange is replaced by the 18O–N exchange, which slows diffusion and reduces the oxidation rate.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115329 PACS number(s): 68.35.Dv, 68.35.Fx, 68.35.Ct, 68.49.Sf

I. INTRODUCTION

The continued scaling of microelectronic components has made the introduction of new materials in complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technology necessary.1,2 Transition metal (Hf, Zr, La) oxides, silicates, and ternary Hf-based oxides with a dielectric constant higher than that of SiO2 are being widely investigated as a dielectric and/or chemical reactions between the overlayers.15 As a rule, the Hf oxide (silicate)/Si interface region is strongly affected by atomic layer deposition on Si(001). Both the oxygen isotope exchange rate in the dielectric as well as the interfacial silicon oxide growth rates were examined as a function of time, temperature, film stoichiometry (HfO2, HfSiOx, and HfSiOxNy), and crystallinity. The amount of exchanged oxygen in the oxide was found to decrease with increasing SiO2 content. When the SiO2 to HfO2 ratio reaches 1:1 in HfSiOx an almost full suppression of the oxygen exchange is observed. The activation barrier for the SiO2 growth at the HfO2/Si and HfSiOx/Si interfaces was found to be much lower than that in the SiO2/Si and SiO2Ny/Si cases, which is attributed to distinctly different oxygen incorporation mechanisms. The primary route for oxygen delivery to the interface responsible for the SiO2 growth is via exchange, however, direct oxidation by molecular oxygen cannot be discounted completely. In the presence of an interfacial nitride layer the 18O–16O exchange is replaced by the 18O–N exchange, which slows diffusion and reduces the oxidation rate.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115329 PACS number(s): 68.35.Dv, 68.35.Fx, 68.35.Ct, 68.49.Sf
When oxygen arrives at the lower interface region (regardless of the transport mechanism) it reacts with Si or SiO$_{2-x}$ forming SiO$_2$. Additional side reactions are also to be expected (e.g., oxygen arrival to the high-$\kappa$/Si interface may trigger the injection of Si interstitials from the substrate due to the more open structure in SiO$_2$ relative to Si$^{24}$). These interstitials can react with oxygen in the SiO$_2$ overlayer forming SiO locally which can be removed from the film as observed in $^{29}$Si isotopic tracing experiments, or can be transported into the high-$\kappa$ film, changing its composition.

In this paper we experimentally investigate diffusion and exchange reactions and growth interface in ultrathin Hf oxide and silicate films on Si(001). In particular, using high-resolution ion scattering, we have examined a series of different dielectric structures (HfO$_2$, HfSiO$_x$, and HfSiO$_{0.5}$)/Si(001) before and after crystallization annealing, and specifically looked at diffusion of oxygen in these 2–3-nm thick films using isotopic tracing. Oxygen exchange and incorporation rates were studied as a function of oxidation time, temperature, film composition (amount of silica in the high-$\kappa$ layer), crystallinity, and the presence of nitrogen at the interface. We compare our results for the silicon oxide growth rate in Hf$_x$Si$_{1-x}$O$_2$/Si with that of growth at the interface of pure 4–5 nm SiO$_2$/Si and SiO$_x$N$_y$/Si(001) studied earlier.$^{26-28}$

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Hf oxide and silicate films 2–3 nm thick were deposited on a 1 nm SiO$_2$/Si(001) or 1 nm SiO$_x$N$_y$/Si(001) film using atomic layer deposition (ALD) at 600 K with O$_2$ as an oxidation agent.$^{29}$ The stoichiometry of Hf$_{1-x}$Si$_x$O$_2$ ($x = 0$, 0.33, 0.67) was controlled by adjusting the relative amounts of hafnia and silica precursors. Nitrogen was introduced into selected samples by postgrowth anneal in NH$_3$ (973 K, 60 s). As a result a 5:1 = O:N ratio was achieved. To understand the suppression of the O exchange in Hf silicates, we deposited a ~2 ML thick SiO$_2$ layer on top of an HfO$_2$ film. Postgrowth oxidation in $^{18}$O$_2$ (98% isotopically enriched) was performed in situ in the UHV chamber ($p_{\text{base}} \sim 10^{-9}$ Torr) connected to the medium energy ion scattering (MEIS) analysis chamber. The sample was first stabilized at a temperature in the 763–1223 K range (measured by an optical pyrometer and or a K-type thermocouple), followed by $^{18}$O$_2$ or $^{16}$O$_2$ gas introduction at a pressure of 0.01 Torr (5–30 min).

Medium energy ion scattering was used to determine the depth profile of all elements in the dielectric layers. We used an H$^+$ beam with the incident beam normal to the surface. A toroidal electrostatic energy analyzer detector$^{30}$ was used centered at a scattering angle of 125.27$^\circ$ corresponding to a high symmetry direction in the substrate. An incident ion energy of 130.8 keV energy was chosen to resolve the $^{18}$O and $^{16}$O peaks, which is close to the maximum stopping power for protons in Si. Depth profiles of all elements were obtained using a computer simulation code of the backscattered ion energy distributions developed by Nishimura.$^{32}$ The depth resolution is estimated to be ~3 Å at the surface and ~8 Å at a depth of 30 Å (Ref. 33).

Si, $^{18}$O, and $^{16}$O peaks for all samples were carefully examined to quantitatively determine the depth distribution of both oxygen species and Si throughout the dielectric film. Rates of the silicon oxide growth at the Hf dielectric/Si(001) were analyzed, and factors affecting oxygen exchange$^{26}$ such as film composition and phase separation were examined.

Complementary x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements were conducted ex situ. A commercial XPS system (PHI 5000 series ESCA spectrometer, Al/Mg dual anode source, concentric hemispherical analyzer) was used with a photoelectron take-off angle of 45$^\circ$ and using Al Kr radiation (1486.6 eV). The instrument was calibrated with the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ level at 83.9 eV. Charging, when present, was corrected by referencing the energy scale to the C1s peak to 285 eV. AFM images were taken under ambient conditions in the “tapping” mode using 125 μm long silicon cantilevers with resonant frequencies ~250 kHz.

III. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows an H$^+$ backscattered energy spectrum from an as-deposited Hf$_{0.67}$Si$_{0.33}$O$_2$/SiO$_2$/N$_x$/Si(001) film. The proton energies corresponding to the high-energy edges of the Hf, Si, O, and C (but not N) peaks are in excellent agreement with binary collision model calculations, which means that all these elements can be found at the surface, while the nitrogen is buried. The intensity distribution of Si indicates a concentration variation with depth with a Si peak maximum occurring at an energy corresponding to a depth well below the surface. A simulation of this spectrum showed that the outermost layer of this sample was a stoichiometric Hf$_{0.67}$Si$_{0.33}$O$_2$ film with a thickness of 27 ± 2 Å. Again, the position of the N peak indicates that there is no N diffusion to the vacuum/Hf$_{0.67}$Si$_{0.33}$O$_2$ interface, and all nitrogen is confined within the interfacial SiO$_x$N$_y$ layer, while the width of the oxygen peak has contributions from both the Hf silicate and the interfacial layer. A small amount of hydrocarbon contamination at the top surface is apparent from a minor C surface peak.

MEIS data represent averages over a sample area of about 0.1 mm$^2$, making it difficult to distinguish between near interface compositional gradients and interface or surface roughness. Therefore we performed controlled atomic-
force microscopy measurements for selected as-deposited and annealed samples, which revealed rms values of $\sim 2$ Å for as-deposited and less than 5 Å for the annealed films.\textsuperscript{9}

To investigate the mobility of oxygen, samples were reoxidized in $^{18}$O$_2$ (after having been annealed briefly at 523–573 K to remove surface carbon). Postdeposition anneals in $^{18}$O$_2$ were performed at various temperatures, times, and film compositions. Our initial findings indicated that at $\sim 773$ K interactions between the high-$\kappa$ film and oxygen were limited to exchange reactions [i.e., there is no net increase in oxygen ($^{16}$O + $^{18}$O) areal densities, therefore no additional SiO$_2$ formation].

A. Exchange reactions

Figure 2(a) shows the part of the backscattered H+ spectrum corresponding to the two oxygen isotopes in HfO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Si(001) films as reoxidation proceeds. A pronounced $^{18}$O peak (spanning from the outer surface of HfO$_2$ to the SiO$_2$ interfacial layer, as illustrated by the matched $^{16}$O and $^{18}$O peak base energy range) is observed after 10 min of $^{18}$O$_2$ exposure ($p_{^{18}O_2} = 0.01$ Torr, 763 K). Concurrent with the development of the $^{18}$O peak, the intensity of the $^{16}$O peak decreases. This observation shows that the $^{18}$O peak is likely not due to $^{18}$O$_2$ molecular diffusion through the hafnium oxide to the Si interface (as in SiO$_2$/Si), but rather due to an exchange reaction in the high-$\kappa$ film (i.e., $^{16}$O leaves the surface and $^{18}$O goes into the high-$\kappa$ film).\textsuperscript{29} After a longer (40 min) $^{18}$O$_2$ exposure there is a larger increase in the $^{18}$O areal density and decrease in the $^{16}$O density, however, the total oxygen content (sum of $^{16}$O and $^{18}$O), as calculated from the oxygen peak area, remains the same. (Note that for the same $^{18}$O and $^{16}$O content and distribution, the $^{18}$O peak should have a ($^{18}$/10)$^2 \sim 1.27$ higher intensity than the $^{16}$O peak because of the different scattering cross sections.) Based on a full analysis of the Hf, Si, and O peak shapes and energies, we conclude that this as-deposited HfO$_2$ film has a slight excess of oxygen compared to the ideal 1:2 stoichiometry, and a 6–7 Å interfacial SiO$_2$ layer. Using transmission infrared spectroscopy, we could also easily detect SiO$_2$ (not shown).

Hf$_{0.67}$SiO$_{0.33}$/Si$_x$N$_{y}$/Si(001) films behave slightly dissimilarly. Under the same processing conditions Hf$_{0.67}$SiO$_{0.33}$/Si$_x$N$_{y}$/Si(001) films [Fig. 2(b)] show a noticeably lower O exchange fraction compared to the HfO$_2$/SiO$_2$/Si(001) films in Fig. 2(a). There are no changes in the N, Si, and Hf peaks (not shown) for both the Hf oxide and the silicate films, implying that there is no additional interfacial SiO$_2$ growth (at these temperatures) and that atomic O diffusion through the interfacial SiO$_2$ (Si$_3$N$_4$–O$_x$) layer is inefficient under these experimental conditions.

The concentrations of both oxygen isotopes as a function of the $^{18}$O$_2$ exposure time are shown Fig. 2(c). The exchange rate in Hf oxide films (open cycles) was, as mentioned above, faster than for Hf silicates. The oxygen exchange fraction reaches $>90\%$ of its final value in 10 min for HfO$_2$, whereas for Hf silicates the exchange is much slower and continues, at the listed experimental conditions, even after 120 min. We believe the saturation of exchange for the Hf oxide is governed by the onset of the crystallization as discussed below.

To quantify and compare the amount of $^{18}$O incorporated into the high-$\kappa$ layer, we estimate the $^{18}$O exchange fraction $f$ as the ratio of $^{18}$O to the total oxygen ($^{16}$O + $^{18}$O) MEIS areal density in an Hf$_{1-x}$Si$_x$O$_{2-x}$N$_x$ films (excluding any interfacial SiO$_2$). Table I summarizes representative exchange fractions for selected as-deposited and crystalline Hf oxide, silicate, and silica oxyxnitride films. Notably, the oxygen exchange fraction for recrystallized oxides and silicate films are lower than for the as-deposited film. Since the annealing temperature may be sufficient to induce chemical phase separation in this composition of the Hf silicate, the lower O exchange fraction observed likely results from a lower surface area of HfO$_2$ exposed to oxygen, or a suppression of the diffusion through the silica-enriched grain boundaries regions. It is interesting
to mention that nitrogen incorporation in the Hf silicates does not change the exchange fraction significantly.

**B. Interfacial silicon oxide growth**

For all thin films, as annealing temperatures increase above 763 K, interfacial silicon oxide growth is observed in addition to the exchange in the Hf dielectric layer. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show no net increase in interfacial silicon oxide. The evolution of the Si and O ion scattering peaks for Hf0.67Si0.33O2/SiOxNy/Si(001) films with the same composition as shown in Fig. 2(b) at different temperatures (but with the same 18O2 pressure [0.01 Torr] and anneal time 30 min) is shown schematically in Fig. 3. The rise of the Si peak area can be directly associated with the SiOx growth, and will be analyzed separately. The resulting oxygen depth profiles for Hf0.67Si0.33O2/SiOxNy/Si(001) films are shown in Fig. 4. The thickness of the as-deposited silicate layer is marked by a vertical line. The SiO2/Si system does not have an atomically sharp interface, but changes through a ∼5–10 Å thick region. We cannot determine the detailed shape of the oxygen distribution due to straggling effects which become severe for buried layers. Silicon suboxide formation and interfacial layer roughness will both contribute to the broadening of the low-energy tail of the ion scattering peaks. As the temperature goes up, the amount of 18O exchanged in Hf silicate layer increases, and so does the depth of 18O incorporation. Oxygen profiles at 973 K show a prominent peak at ∼45 Å. This is an artifact of the fitting procedure, which assumes that the silicon atomic fraction is constant at 0.33, and therefore the sum of fractions of both oxygen isotopes and nitrogen must be 0.67. Note that since the stoichiometry of the elements in thin films contribute directly to the detected backscattered ion yield, it is a standard assumption in ion beam analysis to keep the sum of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Total oxygen (×10¹⁵ atoms/cm²)</th>
<th>¹⁶O loss (¹⁸O gain) (×10¹⁵ atoms/cm²)</th>
<th>Exchange fraction f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HfO2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.8 (7.8)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfO2 (crystalline)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>5.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf0.67Si0.33O2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>2.6 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf0.33Si0.67O2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2/HfO2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf0.67Si0.33O1.67N0.33</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 3. Schematic representation MEIS spectra for Si, 18O, and 16O energy range of isotopic exchange and incorporation in hafnium silicate film corresponding to (a) as-deposited film, re-oxidized for 30 min at (b) 763 K, (c) 973 K, and (d) 1223 K.

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) 16O and (b) 18O isotopic depth distributions for hafnium silicate samples oxidized for 30 min at 763–1223 K. Depth distribution profiles are deduced from simulations for the MEIS spectra (schematics of the spectra are shown in Fig. 3). The thickness of the as-deposited silicate layer is marked by a large (yellow) rectangle. The initial Si,Ny/Si(001) interface position is also shown in the as-deposited samples, whereas horizontal arrows indicate the spread of N during oxidation. Peaks in O profiles for 973 K at ∼45 Å are due to the fitting constrains which assume Si atomic fraction is constant at 0.33, the sum of fractions of O isotopes and nitrogen must be 0.67. When N content goes to zero at the depth of 42 Å, both O isotopes fractions must go up.
FIG. 5. Variation of oxygen density in (a) hafnium silicate layer and (b) interfacial SiO$_x$N$_y$ layer as a function of re-oxidation temperature during 30 min anneal at $p_{18O_2} = 10^{-2}$Torr.

concentrations of all elements in a given layer as 1 (or at least constant). At 973 K, the nitrogen content goes to zero at a depth of 42 Å, therefore both oxygen isotope fractions must go up. In Fig. 5 we show the ratio of the $^{18}$O to $^{16}$O content in the Hf-containing layer (the oxygen exchange fraction) as well as in the interfacial SiO$_x$ and SiO$_x$N$_y$ layer. Two different temperature regimes can be identified. The amount of $^{18}$O in the hafnium silicate (exchange fraction) is increasing continuously as the temperature increases. Yet $^{16}$O is still the predominant oxygen isotope in the interfacial SiO$_x$, or SiO$_x$N$_y$ layer at $T \leq 973$ K (no net increase, no oxidation of the Si substrate at this temperature, only isotopic exchange). On the other hand, above 1000 K after 30 min annealing the $^{18}$O content at the interface is larger than that of $^{16}$O. Assuming that the only source of $^{18}$O is the gas phase $^{18}$O$_2$, and $^{16}$O is only in the as-deposited films, we can evaluate how much $^{16}$O is leaving the samples during this incorporation process. From integrating the area of the $^{16}$O peak, we find that we initially have $^{16}$O atomic density of $10.3 \times 10^{15}$ atoms/cm$^2$ in the as-grown Hf silicate films, and that $6.9 \times 10^{15}$ atoms/cm$^2$ remain after the 1223 K annealing in $^{18}$O$_2$. Therefore $\sim 35\%$ of the $^{16}$O atoms have been removed either via an $^{16,18}$O$_2$ desorption process from the Hf$_{67}$Si$_{33}$O$_{2}$/SiO$_x$N$_y$ surface in direct exchange with gas phase $^{18}$O$_2$, or via a $^{16}$O$_2$ desorption from the SiO$_2$/Si(001) interface. Based on our results, an $^{16,18}$O$_2$ desorption process is predominant here since SiO desorption from the SiO$_2$/Si interface would result in a decrease of the

Selected samples contained nitrogen in the interfacial SiO$_N$ layer. Therefore we can also compare oxygen isotope distributions and nitrogen depth distributions at various annealing temperatures (Fig. 6), for the same samples as shown in Figs. 4 and 5. The total nitrogen content in these films decreases by approximately a factor of 2 over the temperature range investigated. In addition, the depth distribution broadens quite appreciably. When nitrogen is present, the oxygen distribution extends deeper than the initial nitrogen distribution. The similarity between the areal densities and profiles of incorporated $^{18}$O [Fig. 4(b)] and lost N (Fig. 6) suggests an exchange reaction as a principle mechanism responsible for nitrogen loss and oxygen incorporation.

Figure 7 shows an Arrhenius plot of the interface $^{18}$O + $^{16}$O content for three different samples: one with 45 Å of starting SiO$_2$, another with 45 Å of starting SiO$_x$N$_y$, and a third with 27 Å Hf$_{67}$Si$_{33}$O$_2$/6 Å SiO$_x$N$_y$, all oxidized in $^{18}$O$_2$ under similar conditions. In these ultrathin films oxidation is presumably “reaction limited” and therefore the interface reaction will be independent of the starting oxide thickness and the increase of $^{18}$O at the interface should depend on both time and pressure linearly. One can see that the rate of oxide growth near the interface is almost one order of magnitude lower for the Hf$_{67}$Si$_{33}$O$_2$/SiO$_x$N$_y$ films (compared to pure SiO$_2$). The Arrhenius plots show straight lines with estimated activation energies of 2.7 ± 0.1 eV (SiO$_2$/Si) (Ref. 26), 3.0 ± 0.1 eV (SiO$_2$/SiO$_x$N$_y$) (Ref. 26), and 0.5 ± 0.1 eV (Hf$_{67}$Si$_{33}$O$_2$/Si). A lower activation barrier value in the case of hafnium-silicate-based films is an indication of a distinctly different oxygen incorporation mechanism.
FIG. 7. (Color online) Semilogarithmic dependence of the amount of oxygen atoms incorporated near SiON interface of Hf$_{0.67}$Si$_{0.33}$O$_2$/SiON, (10$^{-2}$Torr, 30 min), SiO$_x$N$_y$, and SiO$_2$ (7 Torr, 60 min) films on the inverse temperature after re-oxidation in $^{18}$O$_2$. SiO$_x$N$_y$ and SiO$_2$ data are reproduced with permission.

studies. In addition we note here that crystallization anneals of Hf oxide films at 1023 K under UHV conditions results in the development of an additional ~4–5 Å of SiO$_2$ at the lower (dielectric/Si) interface. In XPS, a comparison of the Si 2p peaks for pure HfO$_2$ films shows that after the 1023 K crystallization anneal, the amount of interfacial SiO$_2$ increases slightly, consistent with our MEIS observations (Figs. 8 and 9). The origin of this additional interfacial SiO$_2$ formation will be discussed below.

IV. DISCUSSION
A. Exchange reactions

Our experiments indicated that atomic oxygen diffusion via an oxygen lattice exchange mechanism is the predominant diffusion mechanism in Hf oxide, consistent with theoretical calculations. The exchange mechanism involves the continuous replacement of an oxygen lattice site by the diffusing defect (oxygen or vacancy), hence the occupancy and exchange of oxygen in lattice sites is the predominant mode of diffusion. Previous experiments with ZrO$_2$ films and ultrafine grained ZrO$_2$ (Ref. 38), and density functional calculations of oxygen incorporation and diffusion energies in monoclinic hafnia (HfO$_2$) (Ref. 19) have all suggested that oxygen incorporates and diffuses in the atomic (ionic, nonmolecular) form. Furthermore, O$^{2-}$ becomes a more thermodynamically stable interstitial by accepting two electrons. Calculations by the same authors show that diffusion via oxygen lattice exchange should be the favored mechanism, however, the barriers for interstitial oxygen diffusion in HfO$_2$ are also small, and charged defects could be mobile under high temperature processing conditions. In contrast, molecular oxygen incorporation is preferred for the less-dense SiO$_2$ structure, with diffusion proceeding through interstitial sites.

Provided that the transported species are individual oxygen atoms (or ions), the availability of atomic oxygen at the surface is one of the factors affecting the extent of the exchange in the oxide. O$_2$ is expected to be adsorbed molecularly on perfect surfaces of HfO$_2$ and to dissociate primarily at O-vacancy defect (or Hf undercoordinated) sites. The amount of available atomic O depends on the rate of O$_2$ dissociation at the surface, and is therefore related to the number of oxygen vacancies at the top surface. This brings an interesting possibility of blocking oxygen dissociation by adsorption of a monolayer of SiO$_2$. The covalent bonding of this layer will inhibit oxygen dissociation, and therefore inhibits its further diffusion and the interfacial SiO$_2$ growth. Additionally, as the structural heterogeneity of atoms along the diffusion path may facilitate the lattice exchange of oxygen, as-deposited disordered HfO$_2$ films might be expected to display a lower diffusion barrier than crystalline films.

We note that the kinetics of oxygen exchange (Fig. 2) may be controlled to a large extent by crystallization of the as-deposited film occurring in parallel with the exchange. An onset of amorphous Hf oxide crystallization was reported at a temperature as low as 723–773 K, resulting in the formation of a monoclinic phase; whereas Hf silicate is stable with respect to phase segregation at these temperatures. During
annealing at \( T \gtrsim 1073 \text{ K} \) Hf silicate films are reported to phase separate into a crystalline \( \text{HfO}_2 \)-rich phase imbedded in an amorphous silica-rich matrix.\(^{40}\) Moreover, phase separation in Hf silicates is complex and remains controversial. Some authors have argued that it can proceed by nucleation and growth, or by spinodal decomposition mechanisms dependent on composition and temperature ranges, resulting in different microstructures.\(^{41,42}\) There have been no reports of phase separation in the temperature, composition, and thickness ranges explored in Fig. 2: (773 K) / \( \text{HfO}_{0.67}\text{SiO}_{0.33}\text{O}_2 \) (27 Å). While the exchange process is fast for \( \text{HfO}_2 \) in the first few minutes, it slows down (or stops at a significantly long annealing time \((\sim 120 \text{ min})\)). Considering that (a) \( ^{18}\text{O} \) is in excess in the gas phase \((10^{-2} \text{ Torr})\), (b) the amount of \( ^{18}\text{O} \) is limited and well known in as-deposited Hf oxide films, and (c) \( \sim 50\% \) of \( ^{16}\text{O} \) atoms were exchanged by \( ^{18}\text{O} \) in the first 30 min (see Table I), one can then expect that \( \gtrsim 75–80\% \) of oxygen atoms within the \( \text{HfO}_2 \) framework should be \( ^{16}\text{O} \) atoms under the conditions listed above. However, this is not the case: \( ^{18}\text{O} \) atoms constitute only \( \sim 55\% \) of the total oxygen content after 120 min of annealing at 763 K [Fig. 2(c)]. We speculate that during longer oxidation anneals, when crystallization is completed and most of the available oxygen dissociation centers at the oxide surface are blocked by bonded oxygen atoms, diffusion and exchange would proceed predominantly via molecular oxygen incorporation and diffusion via grain boundaries, therefore slowing down the extent of exchange significantly.

The incorporation of nitrogen is a well known way to reduce diffusion of certain elements (e.g., boron, arsenic, and phosphorous diffusion is decreased when nitrogen is incorporated in \( \text{SiON} \) dielectric layers).\(^{43,44}\) In our work, nitrogen incorporation into the network of the Hf silicates does not change the exchange fraction of oxygen significantly, if only oxygen atoms are considered in the diffusion process. Therefore the main action of nitrogen is in the reduction of reactive sites for the active species, such as O; the remaining Hf-O-Hf framework will be as active in the oxygen exchange process as it would be in the absence of incorporated nitrogen. Ultimately nitrogen incorporation into the HF-Si-O network to form HfSiON promotes phase stability and improves electrical performance.\(^{45}\) Morais \textit{et al.} showed in x-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) that while Hf silicate forms a \( (\text{HfO}_2)_{1-x}(\text{SiO}_2)_x \) pseudobinary alloy upon annealing, while the Hf\(_{1-x}\)Si\(_x\)O\(_2\)-N\(_x\) system remains amorphous after the 1275 K, 60 s anneal.\(^{45}\) Since one of the possible diffusion routes for dopant elements is via grain boundaries, nitrogen incorporation suppresses grain boundary formation during phase segregation and thus dopant diffusion is reduced significantly.\(^{46}\)

\section*{B. Growth of the interfacial silicon oxide layer}

The thermodynamics of \( \text{SiO}_x \) layer growth at the interface is controlled by the migration of oxygen toward the Si substrate. The rate limiting step in \( \text{HfO}_2/\text{Si} \) interface oxidation appears to be \( \text{O}_2 \) going from the gas phase into the \( \text{HfO}_2 \) lattice, while in the \( \text{SiO}_2/\text{Si} \) case, the rate limiting step appears to be interstitial \( \text{O}_2 \) dissociation and insertion at the \( \text{SiO}_2/\text{Si} \) interface. Once oxygen incorporates at the \( \text{HfO}_2/\text{SiO}_2 \) interface, forming an \( \text{HfO}_2/\text{SiO}_2/\text{Si} \) structure, the oxidation rate decreases significantly. The key energy for the oxidation process is the total energy for moving an oxygen molecule from the gas phase into an interstitial site in the \( \text{HfO}_2 \) oxide (silicate) in comparison to the insertion energy for the \( \text{SiO}_2/\text{Si} \) case. Our results indicate that the insertion energy is much lower for the former case.

When interstitial oxygen gets to the HfSiO\(_x\)/SiO\(_2\) interface, at low temperatures \( (<1000 \text{ K}) \) it is likely to incorporate in the Si-O-Si framework forming the Si-O-Si-peroxy linkages as in the SiO\(_2\) case.\(^{27,47,48}\) At higher temperatures \( (>1000 \text{ K}) \) additional channels open up for the molecular oxygen to migrate through the grain boundary (or through the more open Si-O-Si network in the case of the Hf silicate) to bring molecular \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \) to the interface for direct oxidation.\(^{49}\) This is why (see Fig. 7) we suggest there is an increase of \( ^{18}\text{O} \) in the interfacial \( \text{SiO}_2 \) layer compared to the exchange (in HfSiO\(_x\)) fraction above 1000 K.

With regard to interfacial oxide growth, the presence of an interfacial silicon nitride layer modifies the \( ^{18}\text{O}_2/\text{SiO}_2 \) exchange, which slows diffusion and reduces the oxidation rate. When nitrogen is present in the interfacial SiO\(_N\) layer, additional SiO\(_2\) layer growth occurs primarily below the SiON layer. Hence there is a relatively slow \( ^{18}\text{O}_2/\text{N} \) displacement exchange in the SiO\(_N\) layer. Gavartin \textit{et al.}\(^{50}\) found that nitrogen anneals of high-\( \kappa \) dielectric oxides lead to the relative immobilization of defects such as oxygen vacancies and interstitial oxygen ions. In addition to the positive defect passivation benefits of incorporating N in the Si/high-\( \kappa \) (\( \text{SiO}_x \)) interface layer, high N concentrations near the Si substrate interface have been shown to compromise other aspects of device performance and reliability. Our findings illustrate that, although the N depth distribution profile broadens during high temperature annealing in \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \), N is displaced from the Si substrate during the interfacial SiO\(_N\) growth process. This demonstration suggests that the accurate control of the Si substrate oxidation may be an effective means of tuning the N concentration profile with respect to the substrate for performance enhancement. Finally, we note that interface growth can result from internal oxygen sources (oxygen trapped in the film during film deposition) as well as external ones. The effect of postdeposition annealing on HfO\(_2\) film composition and HfO\(_2/\text{Si} \) interfacial structure clearly shows that SiO\(_2\) starts to develop at the interface as the annealing temperature is raised to 773 K \textit{without oxygen in the gas phase}. Therefore we conclude that there are at least two possible oxygen sources for interfacial SiO\(_2\) growth. In addition to relatively slow interfacial growth caused by excess oxygen in the gas phase (external source), for ALD grown high-\( \kappa \) films intrinsic fast sources for interfacial oxide growth may exist, such as overstoichiometric oxygen trapped as, for instance, \(-\text{OH}\) in the dielectric layer (internal source).\(^{27,51}\)

\section*{V. CONCLUSION}

High-resolution ion scattering with isotopic tracing was used to examine oxygen exchange and the mechanism of interfacial growth in hafnium-based ultrathin dielectric films. The complex oxidation behavior is likely to be a combination of interfacial, near-interfacial, and surface reactions. HfO\(_2/\text{SiO}_2/\text{Si}(001)\) samples exposed to \( ^{18}\text{O} \) at low
temperatures exhibit mostly oxygen exchange ($^{16}$O for $^{18}$O) in the overlayer with no net interfacial oxide growth. The same exposure of the hafnium silicate films also exhibits only isotopic oxygen exchange (no net oxide growth) at a significantly reduced rate, owing to the presence of amorphous HfSiON compared with the grain-boundary-assisted diffusion rate associated with the crystalline HfO$_2$ sample. Exposure of the Hf silicate film system to $^{18}$O at higher temperatures results in a much higher $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O exchange rate throughout the Hf silicate film. Concurrent with the exchange, interfacial SiO$_x$N$_y$ is grown due to the supply of the displaced $^{16}$O (as well as $^{18}$O) that migrates toward the Si substrate; both oxygen isotopes are present at the interface in near-equal abundance. Our findings suggest that an oxidation anneal process may be developed to accurately control the N profile proximity to the Si substrate for performance enhancement.
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We define oxygen exchange as the substitution of the oxygen atoms within the framework of the thin film by oxygen coming from the gas phase. The number of atoms gained from the gas phase is equal to the number of oxygen atoms lost, so the total oxygen content of the dielectric remains constant during the exchange. This exchange process has to be differentiated from interfacial incorporation and growth, where oxygen reacts with the film or the substrate, increasing the total oxygen content.
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